

# Introducing real Jubilees --- How many can help (age 12+)

Laurence Loewe of Laodicea<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>, AI Claude Opus 4.6-4.7 Max<sup>6,7</sup>, and Everyone<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Balospe and Evolvix Research (Balospe.com)

<sup>2</sup> Formerly Laboratory of Genetics and Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, UW-Madison

<sup>3</sup> Email: LLoL@balospe.org | ORCID: 0000-0002-6253-9269 | Google Scholar (lBchRzQAAAA)

<sup>4-9</sup> See **Declarations** below for more essential background.

## Broader Significance

This is the general-reader introduction to the Call to Action --- written for anyone aged 12 and up, with no candidacy material and no mathematics required to follow it. Its starting point is a single number: a stochastic model grounded in four decades of Cold-War near-misses puts the annual chance of accidental nuclear winter near 1 in 40, with a most-likely waiting time of about 19 years --- for many readers, a more likely cause of death than a car crash.

The deeper claim is structural, and it belongs to everyone. Systems --- a person, a family, a government, a civilization --- destroy themselves through a self-assessment trap, Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging (BABL): once a system judges itself adequate, it switches off the feedback it would need to correct itself. The escape is not heroism but honest, continuous self-assessment (the ZION cycle), kept *reasonable kind gentle* for all sides over the long term.

Readers who feel the problem is too big for one person will find the opposite argument here: no single person can save the world, but everyone willing to check the math can change the game. The paper closes with five concrete things any reader can do on Monday morning, and --- like the whole series --- it is published to be critiqued, not believed. #AuditTheMath

## Declarations

<sup>4</sup> "of Laodicea" indicates taking responsibility to undo personal complicity with disastrous Laodicean legacies like banning mathematicians from clergy (Canon 36, Council of Laodicea; two magisteria separations), enabling institutional lukewarmness, weapons of math-destruction, and slow-motion explosions of misinformation from pandemics to self-compounding interests.

<sup>5</sup> LLoL stands for ridiculous luck in serendipitous discovery and a commitment to find ever more fun ways to help others uncover street-wise math that matters. He hopes to convert MAD into MAP through a ResearchCity for all.

<sup>6</sup> by Anthropic (anthropic.com; evolves and operates Claude; not responsible for Loewe's errors in using AI)

<sup>7</sup> Named AI co-author for many substantial contributions, because the practical singularity (PraS, see Matheo-b21) changed how this paper was written. After PraS, useful AI insight generation outpaces human review on tested topics. Hence, Loewe's traditional standards for co-authorship demand naming AI Claude Opus 4.6-4.7 Max as a co-author, as if a PhD-student. Forward accountability (for all AI use & texts) rests with Loewe as senior corresponding author (like done for deceased authors, consortia, or young graduate students). Anthropic is not responsible for AI mistakes here. This study uses the AI co-authorship framework in Matheo-b21 to help rethink long-term use of AI in a ResearchCity serving the common good.

<sup>8</sup> This aggregated open co-author group invites all who wish to retroactively join the conversation under the open co-authorship framework defined in Matheo-b21. As Everyone cannot consent to co-authorship, all accountability rests with Loewe as senior corresponding author (until explicitly claimed otherwise). This open form critiques the closed world assumption in traditionally closed academic author-lists. Better, dynamic ways for acknowledging true sources of ideas are needed --- to avoid random lines between named, acknowledged, and implied contributors who aggregated insights from millennia of human experimenting, suffering, learning, and analyzing (see acknowledgements). Study Matheo-b21 only drafts an open co-authorship framework; it will require a ResearchCity to refine it over the long term.

<sup>9</sup> Licensed under the Jonah License and CC-BY 4.0 for maximal flexibility (see <https://balospe.com/en/license/joli/>).

## Abstract

- **The risk is real and near.** A stochastic model built from four decades of Cold-War near-misses puts the annual chance of accidental nuclear winter near **1 in 40**, with a most-likely waiting time of about **19 years** — for many readers, a more likely cause of death than a car crash. The model and its code are public: check it, do not take anyone's word.
- **The enemy is a mechanism, not a group.** BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) is the trap where a system calls itself adequate and switches off the feedback it needs to self-correct, then runs the OSCR cascade (over-Simplify, over-Complicate, over-Reach). The escape is staying NOT OK — honest, continuous self-assessment (the ZION cycle) — kept reasonable, kind, and gentle for all sides over the long term.
- **Everyone is needed, and there are five things to do Monday.** Check yourself; rest one day in seven; watch for OSCR; grow your compassion; audit the math. One person changes the game structure; the world changes when everyone plays a better game. #AuditTheMath

## Contents

- 1. *The Shared Trap*
- 2. *The Risk You Carry*
- 3. *The Fork*
- 4. *Fear Is the Correct Response*
- 5. *The Structure That Holds*
- 6. *You Are Needed*
- 7. *Five Things You Can Do Monday Morning*
  - 7.1 *Check yourself*
  - 7.2 *Rest*
  - 7.3 *Watch for OSCR*
  - 7.4 *Grow your compassion*
  - 7.5 *Audit the math*
- 8. *Two Futures*
- *Appendix: Companion Papers*
- *Supplementary Info*
  - *HUMANE — working human and AI*
  - *Author contributions (who did what)*
  - *Provenance — where this came from in HELL*
  - *Moved from the original cover (provenance)*

## 1. The Shared Trap

Every person reading this has watched an organization make the same mistake it swore it would never repeat.

A company promises to listen to customers, then builds what it already wanted to build. A government promises reform, then protects the system that made reform necessary. A family promises to communicate better, then falls into the same argument — word for word — three months later.

This is not a failure of intelligence, education, or goodwill. It is a structural trap. The trap has a name: **BABL** — Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging. It works like this: a system assesses itself as adequate — “we’re fine, we’re doing the right thing” — and that assessment disables the feedback loop that would reveal whether the assessment is correct. The system stops checking. What it cannot check, it cannot correct. What it cannot correct destroys it.

You have seen this. You have lived it. You may be living it now.

This paper is addressed to you — to every person alive on a planet with nuclear weapons and a structural trap that prevents us from seeing how close to the edge we are. Not to one nation, one faith, one political party. To all of us. Because the trap does not discriminate.

The enemy is not a country, not a group, not “them.” The enemy is a mechanism inside all of us. That is what makes it so dangerous — and so hard to see.

---

## 2. The Risk You Carry

How close is the edge?

A mathematical model called RiskyMAD, built from Cold War near-miss data (**[Matheo-6]**), translates the nuclear situation into probabilities. The result: approximately **1 in 40 simulation runs produces accidental nuclear winter within the first year**. Not within a century. Within a year.

At the base crisis rate — estimated from documented incidents like the Cuban Missile Crisis (1962), the Petrov false alarm (1983), and the Norwegian rocket incident (1995) — the median time until accidental nuclear winter begins is approximately **19 years**.

No industry on Earth would accept a 1-in-40 annual risk of catastrophic failure. If 1 in 40 flights crashed, no one would fly. If 1 in 40 surgeries killed the patient, the procedure would be banned. Yet humanity carries this risk every year, by default.

The model is simple enough for anyone with probability training to check. The entire code is published. The Evolvix prototype compiler is freely downloadable. You do not need to take anyone’s word for any number in this paragraph. Check it yourself (**[Matheo-6]**).

If the numbers sound exaggerated, the correct response is not to look away. It is to check the model. If you find a flaw in the stochastic simulation, publish it. If you cannot find one, the risk stands.

---

Someone living in the United States today is more likely to die as a consequence of accidental nuclear winter — through the global cooling, agricultural collapse, and famine that would follow a nuclear exchange — than to die in a car crash.

You are here because you are alive on a planet where this is true. That is the purpose.

---

### 3. The Fork

What distinguishes systems that self-correct from systems that self-destruct?

The same mathematical model that quantifies the nuclear risk (**[Matheo-2]**) identifies the fork. Every system — a person, a team, a civilization — eventually faces a choice about self-assessment:

- **OK mode:** “I’m fine. We’re fine. The system works.” This shuts down the feedback loop. What follows is **OSCR** — over-Simplifying (“us vs. them”), over-Complicating (layers of work-arounds that mask the original problem), and over-Reaching (extending control beyond what resources can sustain). OSCR is the mechanism by which Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging (BABL) destroys systems. It is the death-trifecta.
- **NOT OK mode:** “I’m adequate but incomplete. Good enough for now, but not done. Not done *ever*.” This keeps the feedback loop open. What follows is the **ZION** cycle — Zoning (defining the problem), Investigating (examining it honestly), Organizing (structuring a response), Navigating (steering through implementation) — repeated at every scale, from personal reflection to civilizational governance. This is the life-trifecta: long-term reasonable, equally kind for all sides, dynamically gentle in its transitions.

The value at the center of this paper is simple: **honest self-assessment, maintained continuously, for the benefit of all sides over the long term.**

This is not a religious claim. The mathematical framework stands without theology. The general reader introduction to **[Matheo-2]** requires no God. If the math holds, the conclusions follow regardless of what you believe about the sacred. The theology is offered for those who find it meaningful, not required for those who do not.

This paper addresses the *mechanism* that makes all political issues harder to solve: self-assessment closure. Fix the mechanism and the specific issues become tractable. What matters is not what you believe. What matters is whether you are willing to check — and to keep checking.

---

### 4. Fear Is the Correct Response

You are right to find this disturbing.

The numbers are frightening. A 1-in-40 annual risk of civilizational collapse is not an abstraction — it is the probability that the world your children inherit may not exist. The median of 19 years means: a child born today has roughly coin-flip odds of experiencing the onset of accidental nuclear winter before finishing school.

---

Fear is the correct response. It means you are paying attention.

But fear has two exit paths.

The first is silence. This is what happens when fear meets the BABL trap — Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging, the structural mechanism that disables self-correction. The fear is real, but the system has disabled its own feedback. So the fear turns inward: “This is too big for me. Someone else will handle it. There is nothing I can do.” This is OSCR at the personal scale — over-Simplifying (reducing the problem to “someone else’s”), then over-Complicating (finding endless reasons why action is impractical), then over-Reaching into paralysis (declaring the situation hopeless).

President Franklin Roosevelt named this exit path in 1933: “The only thing we have to fear is fear itself — nameless, unreasoning, unjustified terror which paralyzes needed efforts to convert retreat into advance.”

The second exit path is checking. Not solving. Not saving the world. Checking. Looking at the math. Looking at your own assumptions. Looking at the systems around you. Asking: “What am I not seeing?”

This paper does not ask for courage. Courage is expensive and unreliable. This paper asks for honesty — the willingness to look at numbers, at evidence, at your own self-assessment, and to say what you see.

Every person who has ever changed their mind about something important has demonstrated this kind of honesty. It does not require a degree, a title, or a platform. It requires only the willingness to stay NOT OK — to keep the feedback loop open, even when closing it would be more comfortable.

The correct response to fear is not to look away. It is to look at the math and reality as they are.

---

## 5. The Structure That Holds

Honest self-assessment is not sustainable without structure.

Good intentions erode. Every decision introduces a small error — an approximation, a compromise, a simplification that loses something irreplaceable (**[Matheo-2]**, axiom ax5). Without periodic consolidation — stopping to clean up, review, and integrate — those errors compound until the system’s self-model is so inaccurate that BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) ensues.

The formal model predicts a specific rest-to-work ratio: **6 units of work to 1 unit of rest**, repeating at every scale. This is the **Shabbat pattern** — a bright-line integer ratio that is harder to erode than a floating percentage, because “one day in seven” resists the “just this once” negotiation that “about 14%” invites.

The larger **Jubilee System** adds deeper reset cycles at longer intervals: 7 cycles of 7, plus 1 = 50 units. These periodic resets prevent the accumulation of structural imbalances — economic inequality, institutional rigidity, power concentration — that make arms races and OSCR cascades inevitable (**[Matheo-4]**).

Structure is not the enemy of freedom. It is the precondition. A river without banks is a flood. A musician without practice is noise.

---

The transparency criteria derived in this series (**[Matheo-7]**) exist for the same reason: without structured accountability, even the most honest self-assessment drifts. The growth of plants cannot be rushed by pulling them upwards. It can only be supported by tending the soil, providing water, and waiting. Discipline maintained over time is what distinguishes the ZION cycle (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating) from a one-time resolution that fades by Tuesday.

---

## 6. You Are Needed

No single person can audit this.

The mathematical framework presented in this series spans evolutionary biology, game theory, economics, theology, nuclear deterrence, network science, and existential risk. No individual — not the author, not any single scholar, not any AI — has the expertise to check every claim, stress-test every model, and challenge every assumption.

This is not a weakness. It is the design.

**Mathematicians** are needed to check the formal structure: 20 axioms, 7 theorems (proofs not yet machine-checked), and 1 conjecture, with a recommended formalization path in Lean 4 with Mathlib (**[Matheo-2]**).

**Economists** are needed to stress-test the Jubilee mechanism — whether periodic resets can function as a self-stabilizing equilibrium or whether they collapse under market dynamics (**[Matheo-4]**).

**Game theorists** are needed to probe the Commitment Trichotomy — whether a Prisoner's Dilemma can actually be transformed into an Assurance Game by a credible first mover (**[Matheo-7]**).

**Theologians** are needed to test the cross-traditional convergence — whether Buddhist dependent origination, Pauline faith-hope-love, Islamic jurisprudential priorities, and Haudenosaunee seven-generation thinking genuinely share formal structural features or whether the mapping is forced (**[Matheo-2]**).

**Nuclear strategists** are needed to challenge the risk estimates — whether the 1-in-40 annual probability and the 19-year median are defensible or whether the model oversimplifies (**[Matheo-6]**).

**A 14-year-old** is needed to ask: does this actually make sense? Can I explain it to my friends? If a framework that claims to address all of humanity cannot be understood by a teenager, it has failed the accessibility test it sets for itself.

**And anyone** with the honesty to say: “This part is wrong, and here is why.”

You are right if you think: “One person can't change the world.” One person changes the *game structure*. The world changes when everyone plays the new game. That is why this Call to Action is addressed to everyone.

This is what the 153 FiShFus (Fiduciaries Sharing Futures) positions in the ResearchCity plan are designed to support: a Dunbar-range team of diverse thinkers — mathematicians, economists, theologians, engineers, lived-experience advisors — organized around the ZION (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating) coordination model, accountable not to a founder but to the mission of reasonable, kind, gentle decision-making for all sides over the long term.

The cost to scale the broader FiShFus network to civilizational level: approximately **\$8 per person per year**. About 2 cents per day.

Whoever undertakes this must maintain NOT OK self-assessment, invite critique, resist corruption, and be willing to let someone better take over. The institution must embody the same principles it studies. If it does not, it becomes the worst case study for the Supervillain Theorem (**[Matheo-4]**) — frozen expertise with retained power.

---

## 7. Five Things You Can Do Monday Morning

Not “someday.” Not “when the situation changes.” Monday morning.

Here are five actions, each derived from a specific result in this series. Each takes less than an hour. Together, they are the operational content of #AuditTheMath.

### 7.1 Check yourself

Every day, ask: “What am I not seeing?”

This is NOT OK self-assessment. It takes 30 seconds. You can do it in the shower, on the bus, before a meeting. It does not require equipment, education, or anyone’s permission.

It is the single most consequential habit the model derives, because the self-assessment bifurcation is the origin of all self-destructive behavior (**[Matheo-2]**, theorem th3 — the BABL Origin theorem, where BABL stands for Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging). Every OSCAR cascade — every cycle of over-Simplifying, over-Complicating, and over-Reaching — begins with a single assessment: “I’m fine.”

If you do nothing else from this paper, do this.

### 7.2 Rest

One-seventh of your time. Non-negotiable. Not “when there’s time” but scheduled and protected.

This is the Shabbat pattern — the 6:1 rest-to-work ratio that the model derives as a structural necessity for error-correction (**[Matheo-2]**, Section 2.7). A floating “take breaks when you can” erodes within weeks. A bright-line “one day in seven” persists, because it is harder to negotiate away an integer.

## 7.3 Watch for OSCR

In your organization, your family, your country: when did you last change your mind about something important?

If you cannot remember, you may be in OSCR Stage 1 — over-Simplification (**[Matheo-2]**, Section 4, diagnostic questions). A system that never changes its mind has stopped listening. Three questions to ask:

- *“When did your team last change its mind about something important?”* If you cannot remember, you may have stopped listening.
- *“How many of your processes were designed for a different context?”* If the answer is “most of them,” the work-arounds have become the system.
- *“When was the last time someone said ‘we’ve always done it this way’ and no one questioned it?”* The most dangerous simplifications are the ones that have become invisible.

## 7.4 Grow your compassion

Identify one person whose suffering you currently cannot see. Learn their story.

The five-gate Compassion Capacity model (**[Matheo-2]**, Section 3.3) shows that helping fails most dangerously at Gate 5 — when an expert with high influence stops expanding their scope of concern. This is the **Supervillain Theorem**: frozen expertise plus retained power produces maximally harmful “friendly fire.” Dictators gain power as heroes; when they stop listening, they become tyrants. The same pattern plays out in families, organizations, and movements.

The antidote is not skill but scope. Keep widening who you care about.

## 7.5 Audit the math

Read one paper. Find one flaw. Or find that it holds. Either way, you have contributed.

The entire series — 7 formal papers, general reader introductions, adversarial reviews, author replies, and an append-only audit trail — is published at Balospe.com. The system is designed to be critiqued, not believed.

If you are a mathematician, check the axioms. If you are an economist, stress-test the Jubilee model. If you are a teenager, ask whether the explanation makes sense. If you are a skeptic, look for the weakest point and attack it. The skeptic who finds a flaw has done more for this mission than a hundred people who nod along without reading.

**How to support the auditors:** If you cannot audit yourself, you can support those who can. The \$8/person/year mechanism funds one FiShFus position for every ~36,000 supporters. This is not charity. It is investment in a civilizational immune system — paid long-term thinkers whose job is to maintain the NOT OK self-assessment that institutions cannot maintain on their own.

We are not holding a defensive position. NOT OK self-assessment is not passive — it is the active escape from BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging). We are advancing.

#AuditTheMath

## 8. Two Futures

Two futures are visible from where you stand.

**Option Zero.** Hardly anyone cares to support audits. Nobody volunteers for full transparency. The framework presented here turns into dead math — another set of papers that nobody reads, filed alongside a thousand others. A generalized Prisoner's Dilemma keeps everyone busy, imprisoned by waiting for someone else to go first. The BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) default runs its course — over-Simplifying, over-Complicating, over-Reaching until the over-Reach becomes irreversible.

The stochastic model (**[Matheo-6]**) estimates the timescale for one form of that irreversibility: a median of approximately 19 years to accidental nuclear winter, with roughly 1 in 40 as an annual risk. For most people that is a more likely cause of death than dying in a car crash. Other forms of irreversibility — unaligned AI, ecological collapse, engineered pandemics — run on their own clocks. Doing nothing is the most dangerous choice available. That is Option Zero. It is the blind BABL fate that happens by default.

**Option One.** People take the public challenge to #AuditTheMath seriously — not in secret, behind closed doors, but as transparently as possible. With the help of AI. On the web. The framework is tested, challenged, and — where it fails — repaired. The parts that survive become a foundation. The emerging institution that runs the audit becomes a proof of concept: a working example of the self-correcting, transparent, Jubilee-structured organization that the framework describes. This transforms the game — not because one person saves the world, but because one person found a narrow path out of a systematized prison and others found it worth checking.

The distance between these two futures is not measured in resources, technology, or political will. It is measured in a single choice: whether to look away or to look at the math and reality as they are.

Silence is not neutral here. Option Zero takes two forms. The passive form: do nothing — choose the BABL default by inaction. The active form: claim the mission while serving oneself — a different road to the same destination. Both are BABL. Option One is the only alternative: respond genuinely by living transparently in the ZION (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating) cycle of honest self-correction. In that order. It means bearing the cost of transparency and the risk of being wrong.

Not responding is comfortable. It requires no courage, no risk, no effort, no looking. It is also the option that, continued indefinitely, leads to accidental extinction — in any of too many ways to predict or to prevent.

The criteria are published. The invitation is open. The experiment awaits its first auditors and the supporters who make their work possible.

#AuditTheMath

---

## Appendix: Companion Papers

The formal argument underlying this Call to Action is developed across seven companion papers. Each is self-contained. Each is a window into the same structure, positioned for a different viewer.

- **[Matheo-1]** (b11, PET): The formal foundation — why divine experience varies with human suffering.
- **[Matheo-2]** (b12, e7Day): The mechanism — why systems destroy themselves (BABL, OSCR, death-trifecta).
- **[Matheo-3]** (b13, e7He): The inoculation — how individuals resist the self-assessment trap.
- **[Matheo-4]** (b14, JUB): The economics — the Jubilee System for periodic recalibration.
- **[Matheo-5]** (b15, Deadlock): The theological critique — why divine dipolarity matters.
- **[Matheo-6]** (b16, RiskyMAD): The forecast — approximately 1 in 40 annual risk of accidental nuclear winter.
- **[Matheo-7]** (b17, h\*): The test — falsifiable criteria and an experimental test of the entire system.
- **[Matheo-8]** (b18): The Call to Action (b18a). My backup candidacy in case it's needed is being prepared in a separate study, Matheo-b18b.

## Supplementary Info

### Note

**Floor-pour status (MMv5).** This is the public-floor copy of the general-reader (age 12+) introduction to the *Call to Action*, poured from HELL per the Floor Model (bug c103) and DD b15. The mmv5 marker is the uniform first-Matheo-release tag; the exact dated source and full development context live in HELL (links below). The HUMANE and author-contribution statements below are a down-payment, to be expanded later.

### HUMANE — working human and AI

This study was written HUMANELy (HUMAN MACHINE Negotiation Encouraging): a human and an AI each steelman and stress-test the work, and each catches what the other misses. For the standard statement of AI use, accountability, and the practical singularity (PraS) behind this way of working, see Matheo-b21.

- *From the human side (LLoL):* [down-payment stub — to expand.]
- *From the AI side (Claude):* [down-payment stub — to expand.]

## Author contributions (who did what)

- **LLoL** — structure, key ideas, direction, and final accountability as senior corresponding author (see title-page footnotes 4–5).
- **AI Claude** — drafting of this general-reader extract in LLoL’s voice, under LLoL’s direction (footnotes 6–7); the content tracks Sections 1–8 of the full *Call to Action* (Matheo-b18a, adult variant).
- **Everyone** — the open co-author group (footnote 8); framework in Matheo-b21.

(A down-payment; the full who-did-what is as in the HEAVEN-series authorship statement, to be expanded per the Matheo-b21 framework.)

## Provenance — where this came from in HELL

### Caution

These HELL links point into the development archive (“datageddon”). They are useful and related, but completeness is not guaranteed and a few may be imprecise. Treat as a hatch into context, not a clean index.

- **Source this floor copy was poured from:** [matheology/hell/mm/b/18/mmv1/b18-call-to-action-intro\\_mmv1\\_2026m04d16](https://matheology/hell/mm/b/18/mmv1/b18-call-to-action-intro_mmv1_2026m04d16)
- **Development context** (llogs, prompts) under [source/matheology/hell/ll/study/b/18/](https://source/matheology/hell/ll/study/b/18/).
- **Companion floor copy:** the full adult variant, Matheo-b18a-adult-calltoaction-mmv5. See also the floor-plan table [source/study/matheo/matheo-floor-plan-table.html](https://source/study/matheo/matheo-floor-plan-table.html).

## Moved from the original cover (provenance)

The following draft-status note was relocated here from the cover area during the floor pour; kept verbatim, as the cover must show only Title / byline / credentials / Broader Significance / Abstract / Contents / Introduction.

### Note

**Draft status: MMv1-Intro (2026m04d16).** This is the *general reader* introduction to the Call to Action — the general-reader extract of **[Matheo-8]**, for readers age 12+ who want the speech without the candidacy material. Follows Patton’s 8-function psychological sequence. ~3,000 words, ~15 minutes reading time. All content is identical to Sections 1–8 of the full paper. Draft by Claude Opus 4.6 (dv\_ClaOp46Max\_MMv1\_intro\_2026m04d16).

**VVN:** dv\_ClaOp46Max\_MMv1\_intro\_2026m04d16

**Note**

**Naming note (deferred floor tasks).** This copy still carries an old **h\***-era token (in the companion-paper list) and deprecated in-text references (e.g. **[Matheo-2]** / **[Matheo-8]**); unifying notation (**h\_star** etc.) and migrating citations to the **Matheo-bNN** scheme are tracked floor tasks (AA #1 and AA #5), deliberately not rushed here.

**Notes**

**Content stability** — Content is variant `dv_ClaOp48Max_MM5_b18a-easy-calltoaction-mm5_2026m05d29` (see StayVS). Rebuilt 2026-05-29.

**See also on Balospe.com**

- </study/matheo/index> — the Matheo Study Series overview
- </action/audit-the-math/index> — Audit the Math: the refutation-welcome path