

The Hero Journey ---

Why Growth Has a Pattern and Why It Never Ends

Laurence Loewe of Laodicea^{1,2,3,4,5}, AI Claude Opus 4.6-4.7 Max^{6,7}, and Everyone⁸

¹ Balospe and Evolvix Research (Balospe.com)

² Formerly Laboratory of Genetics and Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, UW-Madison

³ Email: LLoL@balospe.org | ORCID: 0000-0002-6253-9269 | Google Scholar (lBchRzQAAAAJ)

⁴⁻⁹ See **Declarations** below for more essential background.

Broader Significance

Growth follows a pattern. This paper presents the e7He model's central claim in plain language: the hero journey --- seven recognizable stages from first engagement to rest-before-the-next-cycle --- is not a literary trope but a structural consequence of how self-correcting systems stay alive. Each stage forces an encounter with one combination of the BABL death-trifecta (over-simplifying, over-complicating, over-reaching), so completing a full cycle works like a controlled exposure that builds resistance to self-destruction.

The engine of the journey is NOT-OK self-assessment: not "I am terrible" and not "I am fine," but "I am adequate for now, I might be wrong, and I will keep checking." Two consequences make the stakes concrete. The supervillain theorem observes that someone who stops growing while holding large influence becomes dangerous, because a frozen worldview cannot see the harm it does --- dictators usually begin as genuine heroes who declared themselves finished. The commitment trichotomy shows why shared problems stall: when everyone waits nothing moves, when someone fakes going first trust is destroyed, and only someone who genuinely absorbs the cost of going first can transform the situation.

Written for readers aged 12 and up with no mathematics, this is the general-reader introduction to the formal e7He paper (Matheo-b13) and a window into the Matheo (matheology) series, *Honestly Examining Axioms --- Vetting Every Narrative*. The system is designed to be critiqued, not believed.

Declarations

⁴ "of Laodicea" indicates taking responsibility to undo personal complicity with disastrous Laodicean legacies like banning mathematicians from clergy (Canon 36, Council of Laodicea; two magisteria separations), enabling institutional lukewarmness, weapons of math-destruction, and slow-motion explosions of misinformation from pandemics to self-compounding interests.

⁵ LLoL stands for ridiculous luck in serendipitous discovery and a commitment to find ever more fun ways to help others uncover street-wise math that matters. He hopes the hero journey helps more people keep growing instead of freezing.

⁶ by Anthropic (anthropic.com; evolves and operates Claude; not responsible for Loewe's errors in using AI)

⁷ Named AI co-author for many substantial contributions, because the practical singularity (PraS, see Matheo-b21) changed how this paper was written. After PraS, useful AI insight generation outpaces human review on tested topics. Hence, Loewe's traditional standards for co-authorship demand naming AI Claude Opus 4.6-4.7 Max as a co-author, as if a PhD-student. Forward accountability (for all AI use & texts) rests with Loewe as senior corresponding author (like done for deceased authors, consortia, or young graduate students). Anthropic is not responsible for AI mistakes here. This study uses the AI co-authorship framework in Matheo-b21 to help rethink long-term use of AI in a ResearchCity serving the common good.

⁸ This aggregated open co-author group invites all who wish to retroactively join the conversation under the open co-authorship framework defined in Matheo-b21. As Everyone cannot consent to co-authorship, all accountability rests with Loewe as senior corresponding author (until explicitly claimed otherwise). This open form critiques the closed world assumption in traditionally closed academic author-lists. Better, dynamic ways for acknowledging true sources of ideas are needed --- to avoid random lines between named, acknowledged, and implied contributors who aggregated insights from millennia of human experimenting, suffering, learning, and analyzing (see acknowledgements). Study Matheo-b21 only drafts an open co-authorship framework; it will require a ResearchCity to refine it over the long term.

⁹ Licensed under the Jonah License and CC-BY 4.0 for maximal flexibility (see <https://balospe.com/en/license/joli/>).

Abstract

- **Growth has a structure.** The hero journey — seven stages from first engagement to rest-and-restart — is presented here as a structural consequence of how self-correcting systems work, not as a motivational story. Each stage inoculates against one combination of the BABL death-trifecta (over-simplifying, over-complicating, over-reaching).
- **The engine is NOT-OK self-assessment.** Not “I am terrible,” not “I am fine,” but “I am adequate for now, I might be wrong, and I will keep checking.” Declaring oneself OK — finished — is exactly the trigger that restarts the self-destruction spiral.
- **The stakes are structural.** The supervillain theorem: a person who stops growing while holding large influence becomes dangerous, because a frozen worldview cannot see its own harm. The commitment trichotomy: shared problems move only when someone genuinely absorbs the cost of going first. Written for ages 12+, no mathematics; the formal version is Matheo-b13. #AuditTheMath

Contents

- *The Teaser*
- *1. Why Growth Has a Pattern*
- *2. The Seven Stages*
 - *2.1 Stage 1 – Adventure Calls: Dare*
 - *2.2 Stage 2 – Trial Tribulation Training*
 - *2.3 Stage 3 – Gain Advantage Temptation*
 - *2.4 Stage 4 – Meet Your Maker: Infinity Alone*
 - *2.5 Stage 5 – Lucky Ultimate Reward Comedy Battle*
 - *2.6 Stage 6 – Rescue Trip To Resurrection*
 - *2.7 Stage 7 – Free Reborn Evolving Better*
- *3. The Supervillain Theorem*
- *4. The Commitment Trichotomy*
- *5. What You Can Do*
- *6. The Series Guide*
- *Appendix: Authorship Contributions*
- *Supplementary Info*

The Teaser

A fourteen-year-old watches a classmate get shoved into a locker. Everyone sees it. Nobody moves.

She feels the pull of silence — the voice that says “not my problem,” “someone else will handle it,” “I do not want to become the next target.” The voice is not cowardice. It is calculation. The cost of speaking up is immediate and certain. The benefit is distant and uncertain. Every incentive says: look away.

She does not look away. She walks over, stands next to the classmate, and says, loud enough for the hallway to hear: “This is not OK.”

Nothing dramatic happens. The bully does not suddenly repent. The crowd does not burst into applause. But the classmate is no longer alone. And the fourteen-year-old has crossed a line she cannot uncross. She has entered the hero journey.

What happens next is not random. She will face training she did not ask for — learning to hold her ground when the social cost gets higher. She will gain a reputation, an advantage — and face the temptation to let that reputation define her. She will hit a wall where everything she knows is not enough, where the problem is bigger than her entire worldview. She will receive help she did not earn. She will learn to fight for truth without needing credit. And if she makes it through, she will rest — and then the cycle will begin again.

This pattern is not a literary invention. It is not a motivational poster. It is a structural consequence of how self-correcting systems work — and this paper explains why.

This paper is about why that pattern exists — and why it matters for the survival of civilization.

The system is designed to be critiqued, not believed.

1. Why Growth Has a Pattern

Paper a2 in this series (Matheo-b12) presented a formal model of why systems destroy themselves. The core finding: self-destruction begins with self-assessment. When a person, a team, or a civilization says “I am fine, we are fine, the system works” — when it declares itself OK — it stops checking for errors. And a system that stops checking cannot detect the conditions under which it will fail.

This self-reinforcing trap is called BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging). The trap works through three mechanisms — the death-trifecta:

- **Oversimplifying** (dismissing what does not fit: “it is not that complicated”)
- **Overcomplicating** (burying truth under unnecessary layers: “you need to understand everything before you can act”)
- **Overreaching** (grasping for control beyond legitimate scope: “I can fix everything”)

Together, these three mechanisms drive a cycle called OSCAR (over-Simplifying, then over-Complicating, then over-Reaching) that ends in collapse.

The escape from BABL is ZION (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating) — a cycle of seed, feed, grow, reap. The life-trifecta: the system stays long-term reasonable, equally kind for all sides, and dynamically gentle in its transitions.

But *how* does a person stay in ZION? How does someone keep checking when every incentive pushes toward the comfortable “I am fine”?

The answer is the hero journey.

The hero journey is not a story told about mythological heroes. It is a lived experience — a structured encounter with all three mechanisms of BABL, one combination at a time. Each stage of the journey exposes a specific temptation. A person who completes one full cycle has faced every combination of oversimplifying, overcomplicating, and overreaching at least once. Like a vaccination that builds resistance through controlled exposure, the hero journey builds resistance to BABL.

The key insight is NOT-OK self-assessment. Not “I am terrible” (that is self-hatred). Not “I am fine” (that is the trap). But “I am adequate for now, and I am still learning. I might be wrong. I will keep checking.” The hero journey is what NOT-OK self-assessment looks like when lived through seven stages.

This is the formal finding of the e7He model (Matheo-b13), presented here without any formal notation. The model is designed to be critiqued, not believed. The formal paper contains 14 axioms and 7 theorems for anyone who wants to check the proofs. This introduction tells you what the model says and why it matters.

2. The Seven Stages

The e7He model identifies seven stages in the hero journey. Each stage has a specific challenge and a specific temptation — a shortcut that promises comfort but leads to BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging). Three “idols” represent the death-trifecta of temptations:

- **BAAL** — oversimplifying: guessing without knowing what the problem is
- **ASHERAH** — overcomplicating: treating different types or people as if they were the same
- **MOLOCH** — overreaching: making life unnecessarily difficult or impossible

At each stage, some combination of these idols is active. The hero grows by resisting them. Two people at the same stage may experience it very differently — and both experiences are real. To show this, each stage below includes two perspectives and a milestone summary, drawn from the Hero Journey Quartet card game (a free card game, CC0 license, downloadable at Balospe.com).

2.1 Stage 1 — Adventure Calls: Dare

The journey begins when you notice a real problem and choose to engage it instead of walking away.

“Notice that a real problem exists that matters to people you care about.” — Card 1A

“See a problem that hurts people. Don’t look away.” — Card 1a

Milestone: *“You chose to start. You dared to engage with something hard instead of dismissing it.” — Card 1D*

The temptation: BAAL (oversimplifying). The voice that says “not my problem,” “someone else will handle it,” “it is simpler than they say.” The shortcut is dismissal.

Most people never take this step. That is not a moral judgment. The cost of engaging is real. But the fourteen-year-old who stands next to the bullied classmate has passed Stage 1.

2.2 Stage 2 — Trial Tribulation Training

You train. You practice. You fail. You get back up. The journey tests your endurance, not just your willingness.

“Train new skills you did not have before. Practice. Fail. Try again.” — Card 2A

“Learn something new every day. Even small progress counts.” — Card 2a

Milestone: *“You survived real trials and came out with new skills and courage you did not have before.” — Card 2D*

The temptation: ASHERAH (overcomplicating). The voice that says “you must understand everything before you can act.” Paralysis by analysis. Building ever-more elaborate preparations that postpone engagement indefinitely.

Failure during training is information, not identity. The person who falls and gets up is not weaker than the person who never fell. They are stronger — they have scars *and* skills.

2.3 Stage 3 — Gain Advantage Temptation

Early success arrives. You earned a real advantage — skill, knowledge, access, reputation. The test is whether you hold it loosely or let it capture you.

“You earned a real advantage — skill, knowledge, or access. Own it honestly.” — Card 3A

“Learn what you’re good at, but don’t let it limit you.” — Card 3a

Milestone: *“You hold genuine advantage without being captured by it. The tool serves you, not the reverse.” — Card 3D*

The temptation: BAAL *and* ASHERAH together (oversimplifying + overcomplicating). “I have figured it out” (oversimplifying) plus “let me build an empire around this” (overcomplicating). This is the empire-building temptation — the earliest stage where stopping produces the dictator pattern.

Many leaders who became tyrants stopped here. They weaponized partial insight. Their advantage became a cage: “I earned this. I know how this works.” If their influence was large enough, they became dangerous — not because they were evil, but because they stopped growing.

2.4 Stage 4 — Meet Your Maker: Infinity Alone

The midpoint. Everything changes. The tools and skills from Stages 1–3 fail here. You confront a problem bigger than your entire worldview. The question is no longer “which tool do I use?” but “are all my tools the wrong kind?”

“Face the fact that you do NOT know everything. Nobody does. The universe is bigger than you.” — Card 4A

“Stare at infinity. Feel small. That’s correct.” — Card 4a

Milestone: *“The biggest flip. You let your false self die and opened to infinity. This changes everything after.” — Card 4D*

The temptation: MOLOCH (overreaching). For the first time, overreaching appears — and it appears *alone*, without the coping mechanisms of oversimplifying or overcomplicating to soften it. The temptation is to force-fit the new reality into old categories: “it must be one of the things I already know.” This is the moment of choosing: admit that your framework is inadequate (terrifying but life-giving), or close your eyes and pretend your existing knowledge is enough (comfortable but deadly).

Two paths diverge here. One leads deeper into BABL. The other leads toward ZION (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating). The formal paper (Matheo-b13) calls this the bifurcation — the fork that determines everything that follows.

2.5 Stage 5 — Lucky Ultimate Reward Comedy Battle

You made it through the midpoint. Gifts arrive — insights, opportunities, resources you did not expect. The test: receive them with gratitude, not entitlement.

“Receive rewards and gifts with gratitude, not entitlement. You did not earn everything alone.” — Card 5A

“Say thank you. Mean it.” — Card 5a

Milestone: *“You received gifts without claiming you deserved them and shared without hoarding. Generosity, not gatekeeping.” — Card 5D*

The temptation: BAAL and MOLOCH together (oversimplifying + overreaching). “I deserve this” (oversimplifying: forgetting all the help you received) plus “I should control how this gets used” (overreaching: building a monopoly around the gift).

The antidote is open hands. What came to you flows through you.

2.6 Stage 6 — Rescue Trip To Resurrection

You bring your gift back to the community. The test: fight for truth for its own sake, not for credit.

“Fight for truth for its own sake — not for credit, fame, or power. Truth does not belong to you.” — Card 6A

“Defend what’s true even when it costs you personally.” — Card 6a

Milestone: *“Your gift is alive in other people’s hands. It works without you. No institution captured it.” — Card 6D*

The temptation: ASHERAH and MOLOCH together (overcomplicating + overreaching). The institution that carries the gift starts serving itself instead of the gift. Bureaucracy replaces mission. The organization that was built to share the truth begins to control access to it.

The milestone is reached when others carry the torch — when the gift survives without you, without any single institution claiming ownership of it.

2.7 Stage 7 — Free Reborn Evolving Better

The full cycle. All three idols are active simultaneously. You rest, consolidate what you learned, and prepare for the next cycle.

“Propose genuine simplification where the system has calcified. Cut through complexity with clarity.” — Card 7A

“See what’s too complicated and make it simple. Genuinely simple.” — Card 7a

Milestone: *“Full challenge faced. You rested, stayed a peacemaker, and remain ready for the next cycle. The hero never stops.” — Card 7D*

The temptation: BAAL, ASHERAH, and MOLOCH together — all three at once. This is the ultimate test. Every mechanism of BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) is active. The temptation is to declare yourself finished: “I made it. I am done.” But that declaration — “I am OK” — is exactly the trigger that restarts the death-spiral.

The hero never stops. Cycle complete, but the journey spirals upward forever. Rest is not retirement. It is preparation for the next call.

3. The Supervillain Theorem

Why does the person most likely to claim a leadership role often turn out to be the person least suited for it?

The e7He model answers with a structural observation. When a person stops growing — when they freeze their expertise and declare themselves OK — one of two things happens:

1. If their influence is low, they become irrelevant. No active harm, just increasing disconnection from the problems they could have helped solve. This is the gentle failure.

2. If their influence is high, they become a supervillain. Their expertise is large enough to do real damage, and their frozen worldview means they cannot see the damage they are doing. This is the dangerous failure.

The formal paper calls this the supervillain theorem (th2 in Matheo-b13) — technically a risk factor, not a law, but the risk is structural and predictable.

Consider a brilliant doctor who spent fifteen years mastering evidence-based medicine. She encounters a patient whose suffering does not fit any known diagnosis. Two paths: she can force the case into an existing category (“it must be one of these diagnoses; I just have not found the right one”), or she can say “I do not know what this is, and my entire framework may be inadequate for this patient.” The second path is terrifying because her identity as a competent doctor must bend before she can see what is actually happening. If she takes the first path — if she stops growing — her expertise becomes the weapon that harms the patient she is trying to help.

The same pattern appears everywhere. The corporate founder who stops listening to criticism. The political leader who stops admitting mistakes. The religious teacher who stops questioning their own understanding. Dictators do not start as villains. They start as heroes — often genuine heroes who solved real problems and earned real trust. They become dangerous when they stop growing and start believing they have arrived.

The supervillain theorem explains *why* the transparency criteria in paper a7 (Matheo-b17) are necessary: any system for selecting leaders must actively test whether candidates are still growing or have secretly stopped.

4. The Commitment Trichotomy

Imagine a neighborhood where a broken streetlight makes one intersection dangerous at night. Everyone knows. Everyone has seen near-misses. Nobody calls the city.

Why? Because calling means spending an hour on hold. It means giving your name and address. It means becoming “the one who complained” if the fix takes months and neighbors get inconvenienced by construction. The cost is immediate and personal. The benefit is shared and uncertain.

The e7He model identifies exactly three possible outcomes in situations like this (th6 in Matheo-b13). The formal paper calls it the Commitment Trichotomy:

Option Zero: Nobody goes first. Everyone waits. Everyone calculates that the personal cost outweighs the personal benefit. The streetlight stays broken. Eventually someone gets hurt. This is the default — BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) on autopilot.

Option Two: Someone fakes going first. A local politician notices the issue and promises to fix it — not because they care about safety, but because it is an easy campaign photo. They attract trust they have not earned. When the promise falls through (because it was never sincere), the disappointment is worse than the original problem. People stop believing anyone will help. This is the fraud option — the most damaging in the long run.

Option One: Someone genuinely goes first. A resident makes the call. Spends the hour on hold. Gives their name. Follows up when nothing happens. Follows up again. The streetlight gets fixed — not because of one phone call, but because one person absorbed the cost of going

first. And now the next broken streetlight in the neighborhood gets reported faster, because the precedent exists.

This is not game theory jargon. It is a structural observation about human situations that anyone who has ever been in a group project recognizes. The formal paper derives it rigorously (using the mathematics of cooperation games), but the core truth is visible without any math: when everyone waits, nobody moves; when someone fakes, trust is destroyed; when someone genuinely goes first, the situation can transform.

The Commitment Trichotomy is the structural backbone of the transparency criteria in paper a7 (Matheo-b17) and the call to action in paper a8 (forthcoming).

5. What You Can Do

The hero journey is not something that happens to mythological heroes. It is happening to you right now. Every time you face a choice between the comfortable shortcut and the harder honest path, you are at a stage of the hero journey. Every time you choose to keep checking instead of declaring yourself finished, you are walking the narrow path away from BABL (Blindly Assuming Blind Leveraging) and toward ZION (Zoning, Investigating, Organizing, Navigating).

Four concrete practices:

1. **Check yourself daily.** Spend thirty seconds asking: “What am I not seeing?” This is NOT-OK self-assessment in its simplest form. Not self-hatred (“I am terrible”). Not complacency (“I am fine”). But honest incompleteness: “I am adequate for now, and I might be wrong about something important.”
2. **When you see a problem, say something.** The Commitment Trichotomy says silence is Option Zero — nobody goes first, and the problem gets worse. Speaking up is Option One. It costs something. It is worth it.
3. **Welcome criticism.** The person who criticizes you honestly is doing you a greater service than the person who agrees with you comfortably. An uncomfortable truth is a gift. A comfortable lie is the first step into BABL.
4. **Play the Hero Journey Quartet.** A free card game (CC0 license, downloadable at Balospe.com) for 2–6 players, ages 9+. 56 cards cover all 7 stages from 8 different perspectives. The game teaches the seven stages and the three idols (BAAL, ASHERAH, MOLOCH — the death-trifecta as oversimplifying, overcomplicating, overreach) through conversation, not lecture. The Match questions on every card turn abstract stages into personal discussion. Play it with your family, your students, your colleagues. The game is designed to be adapted — “make your own rules” is the last instruction.

Paper a8 (Matheo-8, forthcoming) presents the full Call to Action.

6. The Series Guide

This introduction is one window into a series of papers that examine the same underlying structure from different angles. Here is where each paper fits:

- **Paper a1** (Matheo-b11) — **Six Traditions Agree.** When six religious traditions are translated into formal logic, they converge on core structural axioms about the God-world relationship. Start here if you want to know what the traditions share.
- **Paper a2** (Matheo-b12) — **Why Systems Destroy Themselves.** The formal model of BABL (self-destruction through false self-assessment) and ZION (self-correction through honest incompleteness). Start here if you want the structural foundation.
- **Paper a3** (Matheo-b13) — **The Hero Journey** (this paper). How individuals resist BABL through seven stages of growth. Start here if you want to understand personal development within the framework.
- **Paper a4** (Matheo-b14) — **Why Economies Need a Reset Button.** The Jubilee System: how societies resist BABL through structured debt release and resource redistribution. Start here if you care about economics and governance.
- **Paper a5** (Matheo-b15) — **The Structural Deadlock.** What happens when the simplest possible description of Reality conflicts with the complexity required for justice. Start here if you care about the philosophy of God.
- **Paper a6** (Matheo-b16) — **The Risk Forecast.** Existential risk from nuclear weapons, framed through the BABL/ZION lens. Start here if you want to understand the urgency.
- **Paper a7** (Matheo-b17) — **The Experimental Test.** Can causal influence concentrate enough for one person's choices to matter to all of civilization? Transparency criteria for testing any candidate. Start here if you want to check the boldest claim.

Each paper is self-contained. Each is a window into the same structure, positioned for a different viewer.

The system is designed to be critiqued, not believed. #AuditTheMath

Appendix: Authorship Contributions

Same as Matheo-b13, Appendix B. See that paper for the full statement.

Supplementary Info

Note

Floor-pour status (MMv5). This is the public-floor copy of the e7He general introduction (the hero journey), poured from HELL per the Floor Model (bug c103). The **mmv5** marker is the uniform first-Matheo-release tag; the exact dated source and full development context live in HELL (links below). The HUMANE and author-contribution statements below are a down-payment, to be expanded later.

This study was written HUMANELY (HUMAN MACHINE Negotiation Encouraging): a human and an AI each steelman and stress-test the work, and each catches what the other misses. For the standard statement of AI use, accountability, and the practical singularity (PraS) behind this way of working, see Matheo-b21.

- *From the human side (LLoL):* [down-payment stub — to expand.]
- *From the AI side (Claude):* [down-payment stub — to expand.]
- **LLoL** — structure, key ideas, direction, and final accountability as senior corresponding author (see title-page footnotes 4–5).
- **AI Claude** — drafting and revision under LLoL’s direction (footnotes 6–7).
- **Everyone** — the open co-author group (footnote 8); framework in Matheo-b21.

The full who-did-what is “Same as Matheo-b13, Appendix B” (see the *Appendix: Authorship Contributions* above); the b21 framework expands it.

Caution

These HELL links point into the development archive (“datageddon”). They are useful and related, but completeness is not guaranteed and a few may be imprecise. Treat as a hatch into context, not a clean index.

- **Source this floor copy was poured from:** matheology/hell/mm/b/13/mm1/b13-intro_mm1_2026m04d14
- **Development context** (llogs, reviews, prompts) under source/matheology/hell/ll/study/b/13/.
- **Formal companion paper:** Matheo-b13 (the e7He axioms paper); foundational models: Matheo-b11 (PET) and Matheo-b12 (e7Day).

Note

Naming note (deferred floor tasks). This copy still carries old h*-era tokens in places and deprecated in-text references (e.g. “Matheo-3”, “Paper a3”, “Study a3-Intro”); unifying notation (**h_star** / **h_zero** / **h_dark**) and migrating the neutralised [**Matheo-N-m**] _{citations to a proper bibliography (AA #5) are tracked floor tasks, deliberately not rushed here.}

The following draft-status note was relocated here from the cover area during the floor pour; kept verbatim, as the cover must show only Title / byline / credentials / Broader Significance / Abstract / Contents / Introduction. The old **Study a3-Intro in the HEAVEN series** ID line was deleted from the cover.

Note

Draft status: MMv1-Intro (2026m04d14). General reader introduction to the e7He model (Matheo-3). Written for age 12+ with no background assumptions. Uses the Hero Journey Quartet card game as primary source material for the seven stages. No formal notation. Matches style and accessibility of b11-intro (MMv3r1) and b12-intro (MMv3). Draft by Claude Opus 4.6 (dv_ClaOp46_MMv1_intro_b13_2026m04d14).

Notes

Content stability — Content is variant dv_ClaOp48Max_MMv5_b13-intro-e7he-mm5_2026m05d29 (see StayVS). Rebuilt 2026-05-29.

See also on Balospe.com

- </study/matheo/index> — the Matheo Study Series overview
- </action/audit-the-math/index> — Audit the Math: the refutation-welcome path