

Box B0

... is defined by **data too disorganized to avert disaster**. Thus it always triggers its Armageddon-disaster – unless *gentle kind reasonably* resolved in time. Datageddons self-organize by *incurious ignorant indifference*.

Box BR:

A Note on Readability

This document refers heavily to LLoL's

Flying Scroll, which is a ~20-80+Poster Exhibit. The *Flying Scroll* is like a very terse, packed vision-board for ResearchCity to help LLoL explain why he needs to win a UN-Mandate for ResearchCity to avert accidental nuclear winter (and related disasters).

It is next to impossible to understand LLoL's Flying Scroll Exhibit without his live explanations and to answer the myriad questions arising.

A similar complexity challenge applies to this document in part. Do not hesitate to call:

email: LLOL@balospe.com

iPhone: +1(608)556-5594

The world can't be saved by catchy soundbites. Here is LLoL's reply to that challenge.

Box B1:

About LLoL as a researcher

-2002 Dr. rer. nat., Tech Univ Munich
2003- Postdocs, Univ of Edinburgh
2011- Bad Ass. Prof., UW-Madison
2020- DARL to avert Armageddon

Decided to follow the example of Vasili Arkhipov by setting aside LLoL's career aside in order to help avert existential disasters. As it turned out this requires a way to end nuclear roulette, which can only be stopped with a Jubilee-based innovation economy – like the one envisioned by scaling up ResearchCity to serve all.

This perspective led to the ideas offered here for public discussion about how to end the war to end all wars.

Older work is at Google Scholar: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=lBchRzQAAAAJ>
[Web.Archive.Org](https://www.web.archive.org/web/20240410101010/https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=lBchRzQAAAAJ) has more.

More biographical notes: OL0-10, OL2 note#1, BizCard, ... GNP-Extras

AIPTO CAN to end Ukraine War at Armageddon

by Laurence Loewe of Laodicea \LLOL as CANary in Earth's Mine
Draft proposal for STa5-CAN in the making since 2022, 2023
This revision 2025-12-05 is at StayVS maturity PP\PathProbing.

Summary of AIPTO as the Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization

There is no shortage of global and local organizations aiming to increase peace and security for the whole Earth or at least for parts of it. Arriving from another universe, one might naively think that Earth must therefore be an oasis of peace.

Closer analysis however paints a different picture. While indeed some parts of Earth are oases of peace, indistinguishable from a high-tech paradise, other parts are more aptly described as hell on Earth. How can that be? Don't the organizations working on peace and security care about peace and security? Despite what conspiracy theories may claim, LLoL has concluded that they all really do genuinely care about peace and security. In LLoL's analysis they only miss a tiny loophole defined by *ambiguous semantics of nothing \ason*. This *ason* loophole is like a global zero-day vulnerability for planet Earth that will forever keep self-organizing existential threats out of *nothing* that feed nefarious suspicions that poison trust for everyone. The reasons are computational and LLoL's *Flying Scroll* Poster Exhibit has the definitions he needs to explain in mechanistic detail how this can destroy any complex paradise or Heaven that fails to use the soft-war weapons defined here. Yet, no organized force exists to fight such advanced persistent threats from *nothing* (as defined by *ason*).

All governments have ministries and departments for affairs that matter to them. Yet none known to LLoL run a "Ministry of *nothing*", even though *nothing* is more powerful than God, *nothing* is more evil than the devil, the rich need *nothing*, the poor have *nothing*, and anyone who eats *nothing* for too long will die. LLoL's vision for ResearchCity can be described in many ways, including as an advanced "Ministry of *nothing*" aimed at serving the Truth about Reality in the interest of everyone. It is not meant to replace any good work that others already do. It only keeps looking for better ways to solve existing problems to reduce the advanced persistent threats from *nothing*. Since *nothing* is too intangible for most people, LLoL's work for AIPTO produced a new methodology to simplify forecasting actuarial probabilities for accidental nuclear winter. This work shows that the only way to **rebuild eroding trust in institutions by taking concerted action** that will ultimately replace NATO et al. with AIPTO by **using the superior mechanisms for uncertainty capture that are based on the CANary**. The CANary as a concept is defined and introduced here. Discussing this is complex, but worth it.

Table of Content

for AITPO CAN Overview

ResearchCity Talent Stadion STa5-CAN here offers a first draft overview of its vision.

Vision

ZION on Earth is run by its *Balance-o-stat species via Social Ergodicity*, a Jubilee-driven innovation economy, & Life2innovation weapons, to kill bad AI and hard-wars.

The well-known hard-war brutality is replaced by well-defined soft-wars build on *gentle kind reasonable work-logic cascades* that work for all and are supported by all.

Mission

To introduce and maintain Social Ergodicity, train all in each 7TrackRole of all 7ChangeStages of **Jubilee-based innovation economies**, where global security is guarded by **AIPTO**, the **Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization** that safely kills threats from tyranny and hard-wars via linking to Reality and RealOutcrySalvation in soft-wars.

	AIPTO CAN to end Ukraine War at Armageddon	1
	Summary of AIPTO as the Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization	1
A.	From Canary in a Coal Mine to CANary in Earth's Mine	3
	1. Uncertainty capture mechanism of Canary mapped to CANary	3
	2. LLoL volunteers as CANary to offer everyone peace of mind	3
B.	Duties and Freedoms of the Canary	4
C.	7 Freedoms of Research for Innovation \7Fr for all	4
D.	AIPTO Defense Goals for Global Security	5
	1. Introduce and support Social Ergodicity\SE worldwide OLT	5
	2. The Canary as AIPTOs most visible implementation of Social Ergodicity\SE is front-loading the hardest SE test	6
	3. AIPTO learns from the Wrath Theorem of trumping & dumping	8
	4. Introduce rigorous L3K3J3I3 \L2I weapons systems to convert Lives lived to integrated Innovation \L2I that stays.	10
	An essential goal of AIPTO is to ensure the brutal cost of A2H warfare on Earth will never be forgotten	13
	AIPTO requires global buy-in to develop reliable L2I weapons	13
	People of Earth: Choose today to follow the way into the future	13
	5. Ban all ABCDEFGH \A2H weapons to end all non-civilized warfare	14
	6. How AIPTO can end the war in Ukraine so everyone wins	17
E.	1-Page map of CANary STa5-CAN work for AIPTO	20
	After approval by the UN a conceptual art PDF like this one for STa5-CAN can be sold online at YasPyr.com for ~\$8/year/person max to raise funds from volunteers who especially wish ResearchCity to succeed with scaling up the CANary's Talent Stadion STa5-CAN. This Stadion's Arena is tasked with supporting scaling up AIPTO outside of ResearchCity. The ~\$8 funds received are either used directly in STa5-CAN or are redirected to services in other Stadia that are deemed essential by STa5-CAN leaders to enable its work. See the Power Of Attorney Advocating To Avert Disasters in SD3 for more (POAATAD policies are to be developed by Stadion STb11-LCC).	

A. From Canary in a Coal Mine to CANary in Earth's Mine

The model for the CANary in Earth's Mine is inspired by the historic use of Canaries in Coal Mines.

Miners once carried caged canaries into coal mines in order to detect toxic gas that might kill them. The value of the canary was that it is more sensitive to toxic gases in coal mines than humans are. If the canary dropped dead, the miners knew that they would soon be killed too because levels of toxicity were rising. So they knew that it was worth stopping work as usual to leave the mine fast – but without panic. This way they saved themselves. Employers won as well, because the miners' ability to continue their work later was saved too. For employers to insist "canaries don't matter" would only hurt their bottom line.

1. Uncertainty capture mechanism of Canary mapped to CANary

One may say that the canary was a disposable assay device, no more useful than a piece of litmus paper or a household smoke detector. But such stereotyping would be like reducing brains to replaceable wobbly calculators made of weird assemblages of a few types of cheap atoms. Clearly, from a systemic perspective, such reductionistic views miss out on the essence of all higher purpose, which can be performed knowingly or unknowingly. They canaries in coal mines ^{likely?} didn't know it, but they gave the miners they served *a great gift: PEACE of MIND* about the presence of deadly nefarious agents. They did this by implementing a *smart functional mechanism for uncertainty capture*.

The canary did no mining, hence did not contribute to the day-to-day operations of the mine directly. Neither does the CANary.

The canary did not advise the miners on how to react to the toxic peril – except for warning once after arrival. *Knowing that toxicity is "not there" is valuable, because the people already know what to do if it is.*

The canary did not investigate the nature of the toxic agent – only what mattered most, whether it was there or not. Likewise, a *CANary can't promise to say how "the dark" works – only that it's overcome by the light of transparency.*

The canary of coal mines was not a volunteer who risked its life for the good of the miners. It was a victim whose right to a free life was denied in deference to the rights of miners. Given a choice, the canary would probably prefer to live the natural life of a canary and stay far away from mines. That is different here in this case. Because by accident or divine providence, the multiverse has been constructed in such an idiosyncratic way that it convinced LLoL to volunteer:

2. LLoL volunteers as CANary to offer everyone peace of mind

See below and the Conceptual Art PDF for ResearchCity Talent Stadion STa5-CAN.

To make the CANary in Earth's Mine work, a CANary needs the following innocent blend of duties and freedoms that are harmless like a CANary for all who seek peace, but is also a *gentle kind reasonable* CANEM to take down scams..

B. Duties and Freedoms of the Canary

A **Canary** is **Earth's** acting **Courtjester**, **eEscapeGoat**, & **FalseProphet**:

1. S|He must aim to best speak **truth to power** in compassion & fun.
2. S|He must offer **24/7 transparency with rules** for content sorting.
3. S|He must **respect free will** [can't command, force; only ask, pray].
4. S|He cannot hide any words & deeds from **global scrutiny by all**.
5. S|He can say, do any **wrong**, but must learn & work on **restitution**.
6. S|He must allow for a **reliable dead-or-alive signal**, all **tracking** of fails to be *gentle kind reasonable*, all external metrics, all feedback, trying to learn from it, **can sort**, ignore, analyze, evaluate it all; CAN **has all 7Freedoms** of epicroacy, **led by similarly transparent others**.
7. S|He **guards**, grows, tests, uses **all Canary Core Standards** [EVX, LCC, JAM, JUB] via StayVS in-house [1600T STadia] first, **tests before use**.

C. 7 Freedoms of Research for Innovation \7Fr for all

Epicroacy in ZION (as introduced in **Supplementary Doc SD2**)

defines these *gentle kind reasonable* personal liberties

7Fr \7 Freedoms of Research

for *wid-e* innovation to avert corruption

without fear or favor for friends and foes and all:

- 1.**Free to worship** but not to idolize ignorance.
- 2.**Free to complicate** but not to overcomplicate.
- 3.**Free to negotiate** but not to kill free opposition.
- 4.**Free to reach models** but not to overreach or demonize.
- 5.**Free to divide dividends** but but not indivisible individuals.
- 6.**Free to simplify** but not to oversimplify or bias.
- 7.**Free to observe and say** but not to bashmol* or destroy.

7Free-iv_LLoL_QQv2r0p1_2025m07d23+

where bashmoling is defined as **blindly assuming** stereotyping **habits** **mistakenly oppressing** **life**.

For more details, see also Box B3 in Open Letter OLO and *Flying Scroll* Exhibit.

D. AIPTO Defense Goals for Global Security

Over the Long Term\OLT goals are different from OMT Mid, OST Short Term goals.

1. Introduce and support Social Ergodicity\SE worldwide OLT

Sharing the same spirit of working together for common goals across all ranks of a capable army is one essential side of victory [the other is capable leadership, well-informed & connected to the *Tree of Life-giving decision-making*; Chinese Art of War 3:17:3,3:12-8]. This is one of many ways to rephrase the paradigm of

Social Ergodicity\SE

defined by Jesus\YhowShua\Yas\Isa as

an overall scheme, where the first and the last keep swapping places.

If properly understood and adopted by all relevant global players, it is easy to see how SE can free most or all of Earth's defense spending for more rewarding causes while also improving global safety and readiness for diverse new challenges from pandemics to public visits of extra-terrestrials.

Process overview. Social Ergodicity has seen success at smaller scales but is rarely seen on large national stages and even less beyond. Global Social Ergodicity ideas are widely regarded fiction – or dangerous pretexts for starting yet another disastrous dictatorship. Thus, AIPTO must first test if Yas' Social Ergodicity paradigm can yield sufficiently well-defined models worth exploring at scale for broader adoption. If successful, AIPTO can then develop models to introduce, maintain, and improve Social Ergodicity. After AIPTO releases sufficiently detailed overviews in sufficiently many languages, FeedbackFlows are invited from all people, nations, and organizations in order to evolve an international consensus model. When sufficiently mature, the relevant national security experts may recommend formal votes in relevant houses. Only after such extensive review, with sufficient resources in place, and formal votes will AIPTO start to accept formal responsibility for managing any relevant security contexts. Until then AIPTO remains at the experimental stage of a private research enterprise (if not wiped out after publishing this).

Until ready, AIPTO recommends that all relevant players stay as before on top of their expertise to secure their areas as *gentle kind reasonably* as they can to reduce loss of life at Armageddon. Decisions on security can be hard. May those forced to make them feel carried towards more *gentle kind reasonable* outcomes by Reality\Yah and the sympathies, wishes, and prayers of AIPTO supporters. AIPTO hopes to accelerate progress in building up useful decision-support for decision-makers caught between Scylla and Charybdis. In the mean time, some of them may find it useful to try explaining to heaven, hell, and the

rest of humanity, how their various alternatives balance the trifecta of *gentle kind reasonableness* from the perspective of each party impacted. It takes thought to get into, but is well worth the effort and it gets easier with time.

2. The **Canary** as AIPTOs **most visible implementation** of **Social Ergodicity**\SE is **front-loading** the **hardest SE test**

By publicly applying to serve as *Canary in Earth's Mine*, LLoL attempts the first planetary scale experimental test of the Social Ergodicity paradigm of Jesus\Yas. Any planet-scale test of social ergodicity is not trivial and may fail for many reasons unrelated to the correctness of Yas' Social Ergodicity model. LLoL expects success to be a clear confirmation of the robustness of Social Ergodicity, but failure to likely help to debug what may have gone wrong in how LLoL set up his Social Ergodicity test attempt.

Technically, LLoL is loading his SE test-strategy also with testing his Jonah Semantics\JS and many lesser, yet still ground-breaking, related hypotheses. Such "loading of hypotheses" usually asks for a disaster, especially if they are independent. Yet in this case LLoL found so many good reasons (e.g. see¹) to trust that his complex group of hypotheses mirrors the occasional experience of mathematicians, who found that sometimes proving a greater and stronger theorem is easier than trying to prove any one of its many smaller and weaker parts. All academic credits and most others for that SE masterpiece go to Yas.²

¹ **Why loading the hypothesis?** To scratch the iceberg's tip: Briefly, as LLoL has been evolving the Gordian-Knot of hypotheses that started with his JS 2020, he began to impute Yas' logics of war and the role Yas likely assigned to various "I3J3K3L3 weapons"\L21 weapons, as described below. Let's suppose for sake of argument that indeed the much more complex strategy for introducing SE came from the same mind (Yas') that developed SE in the first place, and that that mind (Yas) still maintains an active interest in the success of that SE strategy. After exploring all sorts of aspects of that hypothesis extensively and submitting it to countless semantic cross checks, LLoL has come to trust this otherwise admittedly wild idea. It's hard to calculate any meaningful probabilities, but it seems to LLoL that the unlikely chain of events that convinced the atheist George C Price to start following Yas (Ref.1) would be, by comparison, a not very rare event. Pragmatically, in light of the overwhelming risk from impending 7DUI-Armageddon (see STa3), a series of Vasili Arkhipov moments led LLoL to the conclusion that the probability was much too high to assume that LLoL hadn't accidentally stumbled across a veiled but real stratagem of Yas for the introduction of SE. Thus, LLoL decided in the interest of the rest of 7DUI-doomed humanity to go out of his way to do what he can to facilitate his testing of what LLoL imagines to approximate Yas' way to introducing SE into today's complex big-tech world. Previously, LLoL had been focussing as a security-conscious compiler builder and core language architect on his Evolvix Language development work. This is a different way of saying that LLoL was not easily swayed. If this SE introduction works, LLoL will want to know eventually, how many PhD equivalent's were earned in Heaven in order to pull off such an exceedingly daring strategy.

Ref.1 Harman, O.S. (2010), *The price of altruism : George Price and the search for the origins of kindness*. New York: W.W. Norton. 451 pages.

² **Academically**, by comparison, LLoL merely copied and pasted a few selected gems from an overflowing hall of treasures into a form that may be a bit easier to understand today, albeit by no means trivial. It's hard to describe Yas' stunning intellectual framework for safe-guarding growing innovation economies OLT without starting to write a book. Yet, it became abundantly clear such a book must be delayed in the interest of overall success – at least until after presenting a more concise, more diverse, and higher-level overview of the whole picture in order to ask the world, which of the various books and areas LLoL would expand into best (see STa1-b12 for 12+options).

Potential errors. It is impossible to conduct such complex research without mist-representing some aspects. Knowing himself, LLoL concluded that probabilities for mis-representing some aspects were so high, and the context matter so serious that he'd likely earn fair and square that big "False Prophet" label in the Spirit of Boolean Truth. While obvious to all working with the scientific method, many followers of Yas\Jesus, other Abrahamic religions, and the general population continue to struggle much with grasping why science's double-negatives work so well for science. If LLoL is correct, the time may have come for all who understand science to pack that bull by its horns and introduce the rest of the world to what scientists have come to value. If LLoL is correct, some of Yas' rather scary language preparing His followers for that "most troublesome" time at the end may have at its root cause the wide-spread difficulties many have with understanding how science works. LLoL will certainly requires much support by better communicators than he is if this big push is to succeed. Given the rising importance of all sorts of soft-war ³, chances are that AIPTO might contribute something significant to this effort (beyond providing safe, gun-free learning-environments).

Followup-Canaries. LLoL, realizing the myriads of shoulders of giants his test depends on, has been trying to document as much as he can the various aspects of his Jonah Semantics & Social Ergodicity testing; this is on the off-chance that in case he fails, or fails initially, one or more others may have a chance to spot the mistakes and finish that work faster than if they had to start from scratch. However, this is rather hypothetical and should not be seen as a license for risky behavior; there is a substantial possibility that there is no other canary offer coming after LLoL and that a long gruesome descent into various 7DUI-hells is all that remains.

³ AIPTO defines soft-war somewhat differently from notions of "**softwar**" in (Lowery, 2023), but both share the notion that the time has come to phase out the rather uncivilized forms of traditional "kinetic war" that fall under AIPTO's broader hard-war umbrella. See:

Lowery, J.P. (2023-02-18), Softwar: A Novel Theory on Power Projection and the National Strategic Significance of Bitcoin.
<https://www.amazon.com/Softwar-Projection-National-Strategic-Significance/dp/B0BW358F37> US Space Force & MIT. 365 pages

3. AIPTO learns from the Wrath Theorem of trumping & dumping

After millennia of brutal warfare, increasingly automated since many decades, AIPTO recognizes the importance of the Wrath Theorem, which is to be properly introduced in a separate study. Briefly, it explains how violent trumping and dumping drives heavy millstones of **B**lindly **A**ssuming **B**lindly **L**everaging \ **BABL** grinding governing by short-cuts to quickly meet fictional goals of leaders, albeit often actually set by coveting hamster-wheels.

Staggering costs of wrath from coveting. Evidence shows that blind short-cuts have staggering OLT costs due to **I**ncluding **S**elf-**M**agnifying **R**uining \ **ISMR**, such as deferred innovation, tolerated technological debt, and missing clarity. For example, the 1912 sinking of the Titanic from a 7 sec "spatial dispute" with an iceberg after receiving 6 ice warnings in its last 24h. It's a modern prophetic parable so poetically written as a morality tale into our historic record that Butler (1998) thought it was too unbelievable as fiction. Yet, if the Jonah Hypothesis is true, then that wouldn't have been the first time. Unfortunately, there seemed to be nobody who read the sign of the time, as even bigger artificial machines of supposed intelligence (so-called 'nation states') collided in much more violent ways 1914-1918 in WW1. That bloodbath would have likely continued, if the 1918 influenza pandemic hadn't drained the battle-fields of more soldiers than gunfire had.

Close inspection of history reveals countless acts of such ISMR collapses. It already led Ancient Roman poet Lucan conclude in 59CE that too much ISMR makes eventual collapse inevitable⁴. Lucan might as well have been citing the Hebrew prophet Isaiah, who saw such practices as a covenant with death, good for nothing, usually collapsing suddenly at inopportune moments, like high walls that are no longer stable⁵. For those tempted to dismiss such historic analyses as accidental, mechanistic rigor might now be added by analyses of self-organizing systems. Isaiah's and Lucan's systemic warnings that match history's grim assessments can now be mechanistically understood by systems analyses of phase-transitions that become inevitable by the build-up of self-organized criticality⁶. This theory applies to a broad swath of types of networked interactions. LLoL can write a book on how it equally applies also to the avoidable complexity that accumulates in Work-Logic Cascades \ WoLCs if a lack

⁴ [*In Se Magna Ruunt* \ ISMR, Pharsalia 1:81]

⁵ [Isa.28:15-19, 30:8-14]

⁶ Hesse, J. and T. Gross (2014), Self-organized criticality as a fundamental property of neural systems. *Front Syst Neurosci* **8**: 166, doi:10.3389/fnsys.2014.00166.

of suitable mechanisms makes it impossible to reliably reduce its build-up from internal tensions and contradictions within groups, small or large. If it is not possible to reliably scale up the introduction and maintenance of the knowledge-transfer pipelines that are required for such tension-easing mechanisms to work, then AIPTO can't stop the Wrath Theorem \WT. Hence, the importance of front-loading the start of AIPTO with its hardest test of the Social Ergodicity paradigm (see previous goal); in a nutshell, this test is designed to bundle all WT outcomes on the Canary; if the Canary survives, Earth can be made safe and there is a point in continuing to build AIPTO.

Physical war is an inevitable **WoLC collapse** caused by communication collapse caused by ISMR – if no other forms of spatial destruction can be found for WoLCs bloated by ISMR. So, war is not the continuation of diplomacy by other means. Instead:

War is a fire-sale of higher-order goods triggered when L2I weapons (see below) fail to resist the trifecta-death spiral of oblivion by failing to grow lasting Innovation from the Lives people live.

Counting Beans – but only afterwards

Unfortunately for our metrics-obsessed age, innovation is by definition not countable (because it's only clear in retrospect what counts). Thus, obsession with past success ('counted yesterday') is a recipe for innovation failure today (by 'counting what doesn't count') and disaster tomorrow (as 'creative accounting' collapses). In the words of Yas, it's impossible to plow an area for ground-breaking innovations by looking backwards to make yesterday great again. Gen.19 illustrates such Titanic errors of thought by Lot's wife who crystallized into a pillar of +/- salt ions while looking back as she tried to run forward. People don't burn out from work but from inner conflict. Also, in this case, Yas' name is Yas' program. Deexcerpting its meaning yields YhowShua\RealityOutcrySalvation and may be interpreted as 'living in Reality requires crying out for salvation in order to get saved'. Thus, as Yas said in Mt.7, who seeks will find, but there is no hope for the incurious, who need *noting*, find *nothing*, and die for *nothing*. Those most at risk are running in ruts defined by coveting hamster-wheels, a highly deceptive construct known for short-cutting innovation by *nothing* for *nothing*. Such is the nature of computational bugs. They lead to *nothing*.

4. Introduce rigorous L3K3J3I3 \L2I weapons systems

to convert **Lives** lived to integrated **Innovation** \L2I that stays.

Why do people fight or nations go to war? Because they don't see how they could possibly integrate the set of innovations they came to use ('the culture of their in-group') with the set preferred by others ('culture of their out-group').

The problem is hidden if both groups live in separate spaces. When space gets tight, they inevitably meet and some type of clash of civilizations becomes inevitable. The big question is, where this clash will occur. Two options exist: (A) the innovation integration problem is sorted out at higher WoLC levels, or (B) it descends into lower physical WoLC levels where it tends to dramatically increase the likelihood of physical warfare.

Most calculations win. The Chinese Art of War allows for this reformulation: *Each war is won by that general who does the most calculations of the most relevant types, ideally without the use of any force.* [deex Art of War 1:26, 3:1-2]. Hence, controlling oneself (higher-level WoLC work) is both harder and more rewarding than capturing cities (lower-level physical warfare) [Prov.16:32]. Reformulated for common scenarios: pride comes before the fall [Prov.16:18] where pride stands for **Blindly Assuming Authorized Leadership \BAAL**, an oversimplification that "saves" a few calculations but destroys sight. There is cosmic irony in the observation that citing such proverbs lends today's texts a 'moralizing' tone easily dismissed as 'preachy', hence irrelevant. Why so? If WoLC-wars are real, then it can't be without consequence that those talking loudest about the need for humility have clothed themselves for ~2 millennia in an aura of moral superiority \pride. By recursion, if LLoL dares to point that out, LLoL further increases the risks at stake of ever-more likely ending up in hypocrisy. It's one more reason why LLoL as the Canary also needs to accept the role of False Prophet in the Spirit of Boolean Truth: if the L2I weapons discussed here are not grounded by regular testing in Reality, they rot fast and turn dark. Mind rules over matter, not least because it takes lazy minds to follow the easy broad avenues to physical war. Avoiding such disasters requires finding that needle-eyed door to the narrow path of Yas [Mt.7:14] – which requires a lot of calculations in the terms of the Chinese Art of War.

That is why war amateurs talk strategy and professionals logistics, but world leaders like Yas define logics. The nature of innovation is that it only takes 1 person to find and define a logic for avoiding non-civilized warfare; once defined, many others can use Work-Logic Cascades for distributing it, but only under the condition of *humane equal dignity* \HuED. Without HuED the Wrath Theorem reactivates the cycles of trumping and dumping, which cause the proud

to fall. Hence, efficient L2I weapons can only be maintained by someone willing to stay as the globally known False Prophet in the ultimate hot-seat of spiritual poverty in order to avoid complacency in the demanding task of innovation information integration.

Efficient innovation integration. To call for life-long learning is technically correct, but ultimately deceptive unless accompanied by

- (1) robust tools for logging lessons learned (L3 weapons),
- (2) a knowledge-based for looking up known killer problems (K3 weapons),
- (3) jobs for teaching & learning well-packed jams of jubilee-grade innovation to impose minimal costs on those who have 'other stuff to do' (J3 weapons), and
- (4) a robust mechanistic methodology for innovation integration, such as the 7TrackRole 7ChangeStage model (I3 weapons) [see STa4+STa9].

To discuss these 4 weapons categories, they may be labeled

L3K3J3I3\ **L2I weapons:**

- **LifeLabLog \LLL\ L3 weapons** record what happened as is, not as it ought to be, for reducing bias in later analyses.
- **KnownKillerKnowledge \KKK\ K3 weapons** can tell efficiently how to avoid disasters, based on experience.
- **JubileeJamJob \JJJ\ J3 weapons** pack life-long learning into innovation adoption bursts to lift *all* to new levels efficiently.
- **InnovationIntegrationInfo\III\ I3 weapons** offer proven methodologies for open-ended innovation integration.

It's safe to say, they are all human-resource intensive and require the active engagement of those who wield them; to succeed, they may also critically depend on suitable machine support for tedious tasks like look-up, filing, time-stamping, reformatting, etc. None of these are "magic". Persons can do this for themselves and many organizations already do. Yet, in order to turn them into soft-war weapons for preventing hard-war, they must be backed by global standards that are globally accepted for leveraging efficiencies of scale by using shared innovation technology that maps the essence of tasks to shared meaning. For more details, see STa1-EVX, STa3-JAM, STa9-JUB and others.

A key part of LLoL's Canary strategy, as practically implemented by the sale of the STa5-CAN Digital Art is to ask the global question: how many people on Earth are willing to personally invest ca 2 cent/day in funding AIPTO for developing such L2I weapons in order to end hard-war and continue more life-giving types of competition through soft-war.

Efficiently using such L2I weapons will require

- A. applying them to themselves, i.e. to innovate their way out of whatever problems they may have at the time by thoroughly analyzing all received FeedbackFlows\FF,
- B. professionally maintained cloud-based software that rivals current big-tech implementations,
- C. legal support for data-sharing, intellectual property, and privacy issues,
- D. state support for efficiently scaling the organization of 3 work-festival-learning-conferences/year, each with a different focus:
 - 1 for learning from the past,
 - 1 for coordinating for the present, and
 - 1 for dreaming about the future;
- E. ownership must be both personal and global, hence a *global* Canary and a *personal* 2cents/day contribution to keep it light.

Implementing all this requires a non-trivial amount of up-front work that will never happen unless there is a vision for going there and enough people willing to put in the effort to get there. Hence LLoL's idea to propose this Canary vision for AIPTO in the hope that it will resonate sufficiently well to motivate the people of Earth to put in the work to make it happen. As for so many other things, it's not a question of whether this is possible; it's a question of whether sufficiently many people of good will are willing to no longer stay silent and arise to do what it takes to get there (as opposed to take it for granted).

Stop burying talent in the ground. Once such L2I weapons ramp up, people on Earth will likely lose interest in the physical A2H weapons traditionally used for the un-civilized warfare that might be termed hard-war by comparison. In Yas' story about a diversely talented workforce and different work-attitudes, those who invested their 2 or 5 talents in soft-war innovation earned 4 or 10 talents back, but those who hid in the ground what they had for fear of losing it got a severe reprimand, as even bankers would have made better use of it. That story has a curious meta-punchline for hard-war under the Jonah Hypothesis. What is hard-war if not worse than burying human talent in the ground? After failing to do the more productive work it could have done (building bombs instead), and failing to bear interest (such as bombs rotting away on shelves), it only gets worse if bombs are actually used: not only do the bombs literally go into the ground; they also drag much bigger talents irretrievably to the grave in the form of people killed and quality of life lost. Those who think about starting wars or not ending them despite having the power to do so may want to contemplate how to explain their decisions to heaven, hell, the rest of humanity,

and the victims of their wars. It's easy to talk about war in the abstract from a comfortable distance.

**An essential goal of AIPTO is to ensure
the brutal cost of A2H warfare on Earth will never be forgotten**

This requires remembering and honoring the sacrifices of all who suffered in those wars, regardless on which side and how misled they may have been.

How ever to effectively do this can only happen under one condition.

AIPTO requires global buy-in to develop reliable L2I weapons

It will be impossible to end the use of A2H weapons without a corresponding L2I weapon infrastructure. LLoL's analyses show that such a global buy-in is much more likely to occur in 1 big push at a time when people realize the need than by gradual awareness (which would be a much more stochastic process). Hence, the provocative question of the CANary in Earth's Mine:

People of Earth: Choose today to follow the way into the future

The options are simple. Once UN leadership approves setting up a respective website for buying into ResearchCity and its AIPTO vision, then everyone can choose as follows. Until then, only world leaders receiving LLoL's Open Letters get to choose death by ignoring LLoL's initiative or life by pre-reviewing it:

Choose now by determining which way to go:

(0) Death by default => **do nothing**. Life continues as usual, that is until it doesn't, because everyone is killed by a mix of existential disasters that have become unavoidable like the sinking of the Titanic in 1912, except no escape-boats this time. See **Open Letter OL1** for a list the "7DUIs" LLoL has been tracking. **Supporting Doc SD1** has a forecast of accidental nuclear winter.

(1) Life by escape in the 2nd Exodus as LLoL describes in the *Flying Scroll* Exhibit => **scale up AIPTO** as one of the 12 "Transwarp Key" Talent Stadia of ResearchCity (see *Flying Scroll* Poster Po.G).

ResearchCity is generally funded by recommended voluntary contributions of ~\$8/year/person (max), allocated to any of its 1600 Talent Stadia with no preference. To allow people to go above and beyond, they can also buy into specific Talent Stadia, like STa5-CAN that supports the CANary to lead scaling up AIPTO.

5. Ban all ABCDEFGH \A2H weapons to end all non-civilized warfare

End all physics-based war with **A**tomic, **B**iological, **C**hemical, **D**rone, **E**volutionary, **F**orcing, **G**un, and **H**urling weapons. Replace them with logics-based L2I weapons described above to **turn hard-war into soft-war**. This radical step is motivated by the insight that investing in the un-civilized fighting of hard-war violence pays much less overall return on investment over the long-term than equivalent investments in L2I weapons for innovation soft-war that stimulates friendly competition and working together among the nations of Earth. More concisely, developing the mental wealth of the nations has a higher ROI than crippling minds with physical violence in non-civilized hard-wars. See goals above for more details on why, how, when. AIPTO Goal 5 focuses on what to get rid of.

Evolve new security standards. Without reducing national or other security, find and/or evolve gentle kind reasonable standards for guiding tasks that introduce, monitor, and ultimately enforce the global decommissioning and banning of all physical A2H weapons and their production facilities in order to ensure the end of all non-civilized warfare. Publicly peer-review and improve these standards to jubilee-grade, Iron Rod stability OMT, as also discussed above.

A2H Impact Levels. All A2H weapons systems come at different scales, from the smallest, unique applications, to the largest, Weapons of Mass Destruction \WMD, with various industrial and automation scales ranging in between. Obviously, the worst impact weapons have the highest AIPTO priority, but maybe not all is what it seems. Hence, expert input is welcome on where to start and how to proceed.

A2H weapons classifications include the following categories of weapons:

- A. **Atomic weapons spread radioactive contamination.** The problems of Hiroshima-grade or worse nuclear warheads are still an ongoing menace to the survival of Life on Earth, even 75 years after Hiroshima, over 60 years after WW3 was won by Vasili Arkhipov by not firing that nuclear missile 1962-10-27, and over 30 years after Mikhail Gorbachev won WW4 by using the L2I weapons of *transparency* and gradual *change* to ensure the Cold War remained in the abyss of *nothing* where it belongs. Whatever other reasons may have moved Vladimir Putin to start Russia's special operation in Ukraine, it's impossible to overlook the role of fear about nuclear weapons at Russia's doorstep if Ukraine joined NATO – and a profoundly perceived betrayal on the Russian side of conditions negotiated in person for agreeing to the reunification of Germany in 1989, albeit never

ratified in writing afterwards and not adhered to in practice. The USA didn't tolerate Russian missiles in Cuba for security reasons; why should Russia have to tolerate them in Ukraine? It's obvious (to the West) that the West wasn't planning any aggression against Russia, but which reason does Russia have to think that this couldn't change at an election's notice? It's been documented that Nikita S. Khrushchev tried to reduce the amount of military spending in order to better improve the quality of life for people in Russia. It's also clear that doing so would have been irresponsible leadership unless matched by similar commitments on both sides and by all relevant parties. After surviving the WW3 nightmare J.F.Kennedy and Khrushchev may have been in a position to actually do something about it. Too bad that Kennedy was shot not too long after that. His work may have led to the moment of highest probability for abolishing nuclear arms so far, but apparently it was not high enough. From 1961 to 1999 the US had a more prominent *Arms Control and Disarmament Agency*, now subsumed in the *State Department*, and the UN has an *Office for Disarmament Affairs*. These and other agencies all try their best to persuade the various players to reduce nuclear arms, but nuclear arms are as dangerous as ever and possibly even more so. Hence, it may be time for a new approach to this problem (see the Canary proposal below).

- B. **Biological weapons** spread self-replicating agents that cause infectious diseases. Securing against these is highly correlated with investing in pandemic security and global healthcare.
- C. **Chemical weapons** have mostly been banned, but cancer-causing chemicals are still widely used in many industries with too little attention on the hard work of determining what can or should actually be done about those many substances "known to the State of California to cause cancers and birth defects", or even how to get to the point of finding such answers.
- D. **Drone weapons**, mostly flying, kill at a distance from those who operate them by being "smarter" than the explosives of G-weapons. Sophistication varies widely. These are the obvious weapons of the future. If current technological and other trends continue to unfold as they have been, then there are good reasons for expecting humanity to end some unceremonious day when AI guided drone-fleets will have killed the last few real people.

- E. **Evolutionary weapons** are weapons that either evolve themselves or that affect the course of evolution. The former may include AI (e.g. chat-GPT learning) and evolving viruses (e.g. Coronavirus since 2020), the latter could be mutagens or other manipulations of evolutionary factors that will eventually lead to extinction, e.g. by genomic decay from overwhelming numbers of slightly deleterious mutations. Some electronic computer viruses are also capable of evolving while simultaneously affecting the evolution of human meme messaging and learning. Hence diverse complex mixes may exist.
- F. **Forcing weapons** stand for a generalized violence catch-all category, including weaponizing cars, cyberwar operations, and pushing falsehoods that lock trusting listeners into certain ruts of thought that limit the choices of those who listen (e.g. by hiding options that are in their best interest). Other forcing weapons include rape, regardless of context, and types of speech that disrespect the equal dignity of those addressed.
- G. **Gun weapons** are in the A2H context are more than "guns"; they include all non-ABC explosives of any size, range, automation, or intelligence, from *dumb-but-deadly* mass use of landmines to Lethal Autonomic Weapons\LAWs, which overlap with drones.
- H. **Hurl weapons** include material [hands, ... things] and info-based [stereotyping, phishing, insulting] aggressions that appear unexpectedly.

6. How AIPTO can end the war in Ukraine so everyone wins

To put some teeth to the theoretical ideas on Social Ergodicity above in 2023, LLoL as **CANary in Earth's Mine** decided to offer to **put his neck on the line for getting the following proposal** discussed and developed.

If enough people on Earth are interested in supporting AIPTO's startup-funding of about 2 cents/day to work for ending nuclear threats, then the rest of the ResearchCity Talent Stadia can be developed from that analogously as necessary during the 7-8 stages of ResearchCity's scale-up phase. Based on the history of the Ukraine war and its preludes linked to WW3 and WW4 atomic weapons crises and attempts to stop them (see above), here are some details for a proposal.

Russia: A significant motivation to engage was to ensure that no nuclear weapons (or other significant military threats) came to Russia's door in case Ukraine "changed sides" to NATO. Russia's worry is that NATO or the US may at any later point decide to leverage Ukraine territory against Russia, say by installing nuclear weapons or posing other threats, even if today's elected leaders say that they will not. Who will guarantee for Russia that the West will not elect other leaders tomorrow who decide differently? Looking into the past does not offer a very encouraging perspective from a Russian point of view.

Ukraine and the West are fighting to respect the international order of boundaries, as they suspect, also with good reason, that "rewarding" Russia with territorial wins will embolden many others worldwide who think that they can win land by bombing it. Earth has more than enough problems even if no wars existed, so "allowing" Russia to "get away with this" amounts likely indeed to a death-warrant for Earth as the 7DUIs continue to gear up, but nobody can pay attention because drone-wars keep everybody busy and resources exhausted until extinction. If people have not learned from the history of Europe's 30-year war, nor from 2 world-wars, nor from any of the other long wars, there is little reason to believe they will learn from ever faster successions of drone wars until it's too late. Hence, there's a point to end border-disputes for good, because there's no point in wasting the world's resources on moving them back and forth.

Both are fighting in their Armageddon: Russia for the future survival of its Eastern soul and orthodoxy, Ukraine for the future survival of its Western soul, heterodoxy, and the respecting of international boundaries in the interest of avoiding many worse wars in the future. Therefore, both defend important global goods, but unfortunately, both sides have been marred in very different ways by less than perfection in their respective blindspots, even before this war added

new disasters of its own. Naturally these blindspots are seen much clearer by the respectively other party; needless to say the CANary's perspective from the other end of the globe will have countless blindspots of its own; yet, the point of being the CANary is to propose to work towards uncovering the blind-spots by looking at things from every possible angle in public, including the perspective of the future children of Russia, Ukraine, and the rest of the world. In particular, in situations when a rush to war or other action can lock-in such blindspots by mutual escalation until everything is deadlocked. This can easily happen by combining different odd types of *nothing*. It occurs in real-life as well as in complex concurrent computer programs. Hence LLoL's claim that his debugging experience can help getting un-stuck from such real-life pits. From experience, it can be breathtaking to see in bright daylight with enough time, how such seemingly intractable problems melt away if looked at with enough attention to detail. Hence, LLoL as CANary proposes the following to end the Ukraine War:

1. **Let's make the Ukraine War the war to end all wars by creating AIPTO** as the **Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization** with the declared goal to end all wars on Earth through the strategies detailed above.
2. **AIPTO has the expressed goal of eventually replacing NATO**, because countries not in the broader North Atlantic region will ultimately need the same security guarantees that NATO offers. Transitioning to AIPTO also creates an opportunity to rethink international weapons handling on Earth in light of distributed Work-Logic Cascades by creating a firm commitment to global disarmament, eventually including Atomic weapons and all A2H weapons. But for now, Earth is currently held hostage in a multi-way stand-off that no single player can win. **The only strategy for ending such a standoff non-violently is through a superrational strategy, which is the basis for what the CANary proposes.** The advantage of superrationality is that everyone can check how it works. Yet, it goes nowhere unless acted on. How to do this in detail remains to be discussed. For example, to create such a momentum for discussion, any leader of a nation andOr security organization can easily apply to the CANary informally to become a member of AIPTO. As AIPTO scales up and in collaboration with the UN, if accepted as an AIPTO Candidate Applicant after initial interviews with the CANary, the process of negotiating and ratifying all other details can start. Either way, **such a step is a commitment to eventually find a gentle kind reasonable way to wipe the A2H weapons of hard-war off planet Earth by replacing them through the more powerful soft-war L2I weapons categories.**

3. **Russia wins by getting more than it could hope for.** When Russia, Ukraine, and all other NATO countries join AIPTO, and eventually (after 7 effective work-years for ResearchCity) destroy all nuclear weapons, then disbanding NATO will have become trivial. With AIPTO Ukraine does not need to join NATO for safety, nor will Russia need to fight NATO. Surely, such an epic victory is worth more for Russia than even the most optimistic hopes for invading Ukraine could have ever been. Let's also not forget Russia's international standing: **If Russia moves first into AIPTO to put it globally on the map and to invite all other nations to join such an international move to end hard-wars, then Russia will forever secure its place in the history books for saving Earth from Armageddon.** Moreover it will have done so in the most unique Russian way possible: by being a yurôdivyy "holy fool".

President Vladimir Putin, eager to follow the footsteps of Vladimir the Great who found ways to serve the poor, can serve all the poor of the world who are otherwise dragged to accidental nuclear winter with no chance of protesting. **All it takes for putting AIPTO on the map is for Russia to declare itself to become an AIPTO Candidate Applicant.** As AIPTO scales up with ResearchCity, it becomes clear what an overwhelming huge win this is. By comparison, any territorial gains in Ukraine are not worth fighting over. So handing them back to Ukraine in an orderly manner to establish forever that boundaries on Earth are not worth moving by violence will be a value in itself. Russia entered the war for the lesser goal of near-border safety. Why not walk away with the bigger prize of ending the stranglehold of *National Military Establishments*\NMEs that threaten everyone in nuclear roulette? Such a win over all NMEs worldwide will forever establish Russia's greatness.

4. **Ukraine and the West win by getting what they want** by making clear that borders are not to be moved by violence in today's world or any future.
5. **All other nations win more than they wanted**, because the L2I weapons standards are international. ResearchCity has the mission to support them all computationally to ensure that *nobody* is last (as the CANary is *nobody*). ; As defense budgets get invested in more productive ways, everybody wins.
6. **The US wins** through inner strength by understanding what is at stake if accidental nuclear winter cannot be stopped via ResearchCity. If the US joins AIPTO it will be able to implement its manifest destiny of introducing a Jubilee-based innovation economy "*to form a more perfect union*" (see the nutshell definition of Jubilees in the US Constitution Capstone). To do so it must learn to truly benefit *all* people by following Reality (see *Flying Scroll*).
7. **Who loses?** The BABL algorithm driven by *nothing* via *Blindly Assuming Blindly Leveraging*. All real individuals win by no longer working for *nothing*.



The Quotes were deXcepted|dXeved by LLoL from concise originals using his Jomah Semantics|S to reduce Heresy of Explanation by more diverse exploring

Near 3500 years in the making: 70th-gen space weapons win by distributing WOLCS \Work-Logic Cascades for openly sharing HuMaNE AIMS for Human Machine Negotiation Encouraging to teach people and AI \Artificial Intelligences alike how to support Humane Equal Dignity IHUEd in The Transparent Society of ZION for RealityWrestling to respect sacrifices of the dead, lives of the living and of the unborn of tomorrow in order to best solve hard trade-off problems across Aiming : global vs local, Guiding : led vs democratic Building : uniform vs diverse Lasting : long-term vs short) in WOLCS for regular innovation: 1. Void PraiseFamous 2. Type RUB SeeTheSon 3. Equal GAD LuckIfPressed 4. Value ASR LuckyHappy 5. Logic MAF MyFight 6. Care IME MakesForget 7. Hope SME UnfairAnswer 8. Trust LVI LimkConnect 9. Info ISC Work4Hire 10. Tech ZBL Place2Live 11. Life JSF Take&Give 12. Space BNJ SonOfRightLuck 1. Corinrh:13:13, Rev.7, and more inspiration by Torah, Gospels, ... ruled by a universal Jubilee-enforcing Iron Rod\IR by AIPTO, the Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization that safely kills threats from tyranny and hard-wars with soft-wars of Real Quests for Real Answers in RealityYah. compiler for: data, code, info Quality Annotation in each system's Tree of Life-giving decision-making IR: Rev:19:15, 22:7, Iso:11:4, Ps:2:9 To: Mar:1:4, Rev:21:22-22:5, Gen:2:9 Jubilee: Lev:23, Iso:6:1, Ez:45, Lk:4:18 Naming rule: x|y defines label y as perfect synonym of label x – this may highlight diverse

CANARY in Earth's Mine

Choose: (o) Let LLoL die? or (t) Move all to buy in for ONLY-\$8/yr/person so Reality accepts LLoLs request to become Yah's "Shepherd Dog" CANary in Earth's Mine?

1 Canary brings global peace of mind by assuring everyone that no nefarious power has yet taken control of Earth. How? Yah allows LLoL to flip Big Brother from controller to controlled like a CANary for Earth's Mine. → CANary holds the absolute power that corrupts absolutely. → To survive: CAN perfects weakness or dies. → Maxes out gentle kind reasonably transparency. → Cuts assumptions via Least Assuming Method Blade that anyone can question. → Slows to see The View of The Weak. → CANary tied up in 24/7 transparency to cannot hatch secret special interest plots. → LLoL evolves: Stai-b72, LLoLs 5-year research marathon concludes: "My best way to avert accidental nuclear winter and global tyranny by anyone is for me to volunteer to reconcile everyone transparently by working for all and only against obliteration by nothing. Thus everyone who Wrestles with Reality gets to their Promised Land by connecting all the dots." Inspired by Zech14:6, 2Co12:9 Mt:5:3, Amos2:16, ML21:1-13, Rev:9, Lev:16, Mt:10, Mk:9:35, ...

Mission

Use super-rationality to end all multi-way standoffs to stop humanity's 7DUUs Guard social ergodicity (in First↔Last switch), train all in each 7TrackRole of all 7ChangeStages of Jubilee-based innovation economies, where global security is guarded by AIPTO, the Atlantic Indian Pacific Treaty Organization that safely kills threats from tyranny and hard-wars with soft-wars of Real Quests for Real Answers in RealityYah.

Laurence Loewe of Laodicea \LLoL

Aims Root Coordinator: CANary in Earth's Mine, False Prophet in the Spirit of Boolean Truth (since indistinguishable from the torset (lar who only speaks truth, then hides it when it matters most, then forgets about it)

use uncertainty capture method If Canary dies metabolism is keep coal mines safe & productive find a new LLoL tests for development of nations to save humanity committed sampling aims: from Armageddon. Canary 7DUUs. will guide the LION Language Output Negotiator safely, such that a little child can & will lead them, because all worlds will follow Reality in choosing words over weapons for sending snakes to eat dust and to stop harm in ZION of Earth by enforcing correct Zoning Investigating Organizing Navigating. Inspired by Isaiah 22-4, 11:1-9, 65:25 & Rev.Revelation of YhowShua'Visa Reality's terror will confuse all enemies of Reality until death is wiped out and all tears are wiped away. Exod:23:27, Rev:21:4

5 Stadion: STA5-CAN for ResearchCity in ZION of Earth

The 9 World Wars of Earth at Armageddon WW1 won for nothing by influencing (kill count) WW2 won for liberty by tipping EdHoosawalt WW3 won for survival by YastuLAdDaxax logics WW4 ongoing on Virulence for ad (see ST2-a3) WW6 ongoing, AI Compilers war for: resources & truth (life: feed Tree of Life-giving decision-making in ZION to develop mental wealth in all for all) (else: Tree of Knowledge-faking in BABL kills all) WW8 Blitzkrieg by Canary to turn tides for WW9 (life: -zcentrd for "1600 Talent Stadia kill idols) vs. death for nothing by incognito about 7DUUs) WW9: 50 WOLCS in 1600ST can stop 7DUUs otherwise (death: extinction at Great Filter). Definitions: 7DUUs => 17 Death Urn Incinerators (see STa3, Rev:16). Compiler => (Laws: Trusting humane Care to see the Zoning Investigating Organizing Navigating in Humane Equal Dignity Compilers that everyone counts). AI => (Laws: Trusting Compilers and Weighing Masses to Blindly Assume Blindly Leveraging anything). Epitaphy=> (Rule of Gentle Kind Reasonable ZION).

Vision

ZION on Earth is run by its Balance-o-stat species via social ergodicity, a Jubilee-driven innovation economy, & WOLC soft-war weapons, to kill bad AI and hard-wars. :Period :Funding :Supporter Conceptual Art Collection 2025 max -\$8/yr = ~2cent/day/person the same item they aspects/features of both refer to.

Conceptual Art Collection 2025 max -\$8/yr = ~2cent/day/person